

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,166	02/09/2006	Giovanni Mazzarolo	SAIC2235610078800111 7809	
26304 7590 08/23/2007 KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP				
575 MADISON AVENUE			PATEL, TAJASH D	
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	H					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/568,166	MAZZAROLO, GIOVANNI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Tejash D. Patel	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	ne 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•	•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-26</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-26</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 14, on line 2, "a jacket having one of sleeve and no sleeves" is indefinite since it is unclear as to what the metes and bounds are of the patent protection as desired.

 Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 13-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated as understood by Keyes (US 5,500,952). Keyes discloses an inflatable garment (12) having a plurality of active protecting means for the upper part of the body against traumas and falls that extends from one side to the other of the chest above the sternum, col. 3, line 6 col. 4, line 60 and as shown in figure 3. Further, the plurality of active protecting means consist of air bags (22), col. 5, lines 61-

Art Unit: 3765

67 and as shown in figure 4. Additionally, device (30) in the garment allows the air bags to inflate by electronic means (32) in response to signals emitted by sensors (32), col. 6, lines 22-30 and as shown in figure 6. The airbags are arranged in the garment which has releasable flaps (14,16) defining a front opening that is offset from the center line therebetween as shown in figure 2. Also, the garment has a support means (44) defined as a band therewithin that is joined to the inside by housing device (30) and electronic means (32) as shown in figures 6 and 7.

5. Claims 13-14,16-21 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated as understood by DeMarco (US 4,977,623). DeMarco discloses an inflatable sleeveless jacket (10) having a plurality of active protecting means for the upper part of the body against traumas and falls that extends from one side to the other of the chest above the sternum, col. 1, lines 5-55 and as shown in figure 2. Further, the plurality of active protecting means consist of air bags (12) being positioned on the back and the chest, col. 3, line 30 and as shown in figure 2. Additionally, device (14) housed within the garment allows the air bags to inflate by electronic means (28) in response to signals emitted by sensors (16,18), col. 3, lines 30-67 and as shown in figure 4. The airbags are arranged in the garment defining flaps that are releasable fastened together by a zipper as shown in figure 1. Furthermore, the garment can consist of a suit as shown in figure 3.

Response to Amendment

6. The amendment and argument filed on 6/5/07 has been considered. In view of such, the amendment with new claims has necessitated this office action to be made FINAL over prior art of record and the arguments are moot.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 3765

August 16, 2007

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER